SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Gainesville State: FL

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$13,361,132 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 31 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.46 % | 90.35 % | 4 | 92.07 % | 70 | 74 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 83.74 % | 80.96 % | 13 | 84.14 % | 42 | 40 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 4.21 | 6.53 | 1 | 4.79 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 79.75 % | 1 | 81.45 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 70.59 % | 59.31 % | 12 | 69.74 % | 42 | 43 |
| _ow-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 83.24 % | 74.70 % | 12 | 80.97 % | 42 | 46 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 59.22 % | 37.26 % | 6 | 45.50 % | 71 | 76 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 91.06 % | 96.15 % | 23 | 95.55 % | 17 | 17 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 4 / 31 | Nation | ally: 75 | 76 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | d Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$12,558 | \$18,608 | | \$26,831 | 179 Units | 20.90 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$17,670 | \$18,679 | | \$15,029 | 258 Units | 30.20 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$20,759 | \$28,406 | | \$20,806 | 165 Units | 19.30 |
| TBRA Unit | \$768 | \$3,694 | | \$3,228 | 253 Units | 29.60 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (214 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (283 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units FL Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Gainesville **Total Development Costs:** Rental Homebuyer Homeowner 1.9 % **CHDO Operating Expenses:** PJ: (average reported cost per unit in 1.2 % (% of allocation) **National Avg:** PJ: \$63,266 \$71,104 \$28,200 **HOME-assisted projects**) \$67,267 \$95,903 \$35,707 State:* 0.77 **R.S. Means Cost Index:** National:** \$96,090 \$75,663 \$23,585 Rental Homebuyer Homeowner TBRA Pontal Homobuyor Homoowner TRPA

| | | • | nomeowner | IDKA | | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner | TBRA |
|--|------|------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| RACE: | % | % | % | % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | 17.8 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 58.9 | 47.7 | 28.5 | 18.8 |
| Black/African American: | 80.4 | 84.0 | 84.2 | 100.0 | Elderly: | 8.0 | 7.0 | 27.3 | 6.3 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 21.5 | 25.4 | 15.2 | 75.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 4.9 | 16.8 | 23.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 6.7 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A | SSISTAN | ICE: | | |
| 1 Person: | 35.0 | 15.2 | 30.3 | 25.0 | Section 8: | 32.5 | 0.4 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 31.3 | 20.7 | 27.9 | 12.5 | HOME TBRA: | 3.7 | 7 | | |
| 3 Persons: | 20.2 | 33.2 | 20.0 | 31.3 | Other: | 4.9 |) | | |
| 4 Persons: | 8.0 | 17.6 | 12.7 | 25.0 | No Assistance: | 58.9 |) | | |
| 5 Persons: | 3.7 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.3 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 1.8 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant U | Jnits / Co | ompleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 21 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Gainesville State: FL Group Rank: 75 (Percentile)

State Rank: 4 / 31 PJs Overall Rank:

(Percentile)

Summary: 1 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 75.25% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.43% | 70.59 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 83.24 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.30% | 91.06 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | /EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.340 | 2.55 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.